

Message

From: Stralka, Daniel [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=079808F365344B4C9E1084F3E43F6A87-DSTRALKA]
Sent: 7/27/2016 10:04:05 PM
To: Serda, Sophia [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=2f924afb506048eda459e44ef8a382e1-SSERDA]; Herrera, Angeles [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=8aa7ea9bf6c74f0783906f40a4252630-AHERRERA]
CC: Chesnutt, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e1cd369e94474c2c8a876fb16943320a-JCHESNUT]; Henning, Loren [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=3aae3e0d0d764aa5af728c00cb7ada14-LHENNING]; Ball, Harold [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=11f5a72a81fe4bc2a343d708fbb10c21-HBALL]
Subject: RE: Lead of federal facilities---do we use state or federal number?

My additional 2 cents. The RODs for soil at Hunter's Point had a 190 ppm for lead that was protective of home grown produce, as the soil remediation level.

-----Original Message-----

From: Serda, Sophia
Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2016 1:18 PM
To: Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>; Stralka, Daniel <Stralka.Daniel@epa.gov>
Cc: Chesnutt, John <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>; Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>; Ball, Harold <Ball.Harold@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Lead of federal facilities---do we use state or federal number?

In CA 80 ppm is a to be considered standard and can be adjusted with site specific background levels. I understand both McClellan and Treasure Island used 80 ppm and adjusted with site specific background for final cleanup numbers of 137 ppm and 155ppm. At NAS alameda the lead cleanup was developed with an older DTSC lead model the number I believe was around 240 ppm.

-----Original Message-----

From: Herrera, Angeles
Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2016 12:44 PM
To: Stralka, Daniel <Stralka.Daniel@epa.gov>; Serda, Sophia <Serda.Sophia@epa.gov>
Cc: Chesnutt, John <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>; Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>; Ball, Harold <Ball.Harold@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Lead of federal facilities---do we use state or federal number?

Dan and Sophia,

I am trying to understand what we are doing with lead at a FF, do we use the federal 400ppm or CA 80 ppm? Loren tells me that used 80 ppm for residential at Travis AFB and Norton AFB. Below is John's response re HP. Your thoughts?

-----Original Message-----

From: Chesnutt, John
Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2016 11:03 AM
To: Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Lead of federal facilities---do we use state or federal number?

I know we use the CA number for screening, but I don't think I have any recent CA RODs to confirm if selected. For instance, we have made reference to the CA risk based concentration approach for lead in our draft FS for the HP parcel G ESD, but then we may select a final number that is as much as 5 times higher, but not sure.

Dan Stralka or Sophia would be best to consult with on this.

John

> On Jul 27, 2016, at 12:40 PM, Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov> wrote:
>
>
>
> Sent from my iPhone

